

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION**

Malikie Innovations Ltd. and  
Key Patent Innovations Ltd.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MARA Holdings, Inc. (f/k/a Marathon Digital  
Holdings, Inc.)

Defendant.

Case No. 7:25-cv-00222

**DEFENDANT’S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FILE A SUR-SURREPLY IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION TO STAY PENDING EX PARTE REEXAMINATION**

On January 20, MARA filed its Opposed Motion to Stay Pending Ex Parte Reexamination. Dkt. 54. Plaintiffs filed their response in opposition on February 3. Dkt. 61. MARA filed its reply on February 10. Dkt. 62. Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion to file a sur-reply on February 17. MARA now submits this unopposed motion to file a sur-surreply to address new arguments made in Plaintiffs’ sur-reply regarding the USPTO’s recent reexamination orders for the ’062 and ’960 Patents.

Plaintiffs argue that a stay would result in a tactical disadvantage to Plaintiffs because they are not entitled to depose MARA’s expert declarant regarding the prior art status of references used in requests for EPR of the ’062 and ’960 Patents, which are referenced in the reexamination orders. D.I. 70-2 at 1. But standard EPR procedures provide Plaintiffs with the means to address these issues without discovery in the district court, and no authority supports Plaintiffs’ novel claims of “entitle[ment] to discovery not provided for by the PTO’s rules.” D.I. 70-2 at 2.

Accordingly, MARA requests leave to file the attached sur-surreply briefly addressing Plaintiffs' new arguments. *Lumintec, LLC v. Motorola Mobility LLC*, 21-CV-00402-ADA, ECF# 49 at 2 (W.D. Tex. May 10, 2022) (Albright, J.) (citations omitted) (“[A] Motion for Leave to File a Surreply may be granted if the party requesting leave demonstrates exceptional or extraordinary circumstances... [which] can include new issues, theories, or arguments addressed in the reply that require a response.”).

Dated: February 20, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Anish Desai

Anish R. Desai  
Elizabeth S. Weiswasser  
Catherine Nyarady  
Ian A. Moore  
Tom Yu  
Thomas Macchio  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison  
LLP  
1285 Sixth Avenue  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 373-3000

Christopher M. Pepe  
W. Sutton Ansley  
Priyata Patel  
Eric C. Westerhold  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison  
LLP  
2001 K Street NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 223-7300

Steve Wingard  
State Bar No. 00788694  
swingard@scottdoug.com  
Robert P. Earle  
State Bar No. 241245566  
rearle@scottdoug.com  
Stephen L. Burbank  
State Bar No. 24109672  
sburbank@scottdoug.com  
Scott Douglass & McConnico LLP  
303 Colorado Street, Suite 2400  
Austin, TX 78701-3234  
Telephone: (512) 495-6300  
Facsimile: (512) 495-6399

*Counsel for Defendant MARA Holdings, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of this motion upon each attorney of record and the original upon the Clerk of Court on this the 20th day of February, 2026.

/s/ Anish Desai  
Anish R. Desai